

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING	DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/666,204	09/17/2003		Ann M. Bauman	MP/177	8294
28596	7590 05/20/2005			EXAMINER	
GORE EN	TERPRISE H	OLDINGS, IN	WOO, JULIAN W		
551 PAPER P. O. BOX 9	MILL ROAD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEWARK, DE 19714-9206				3731	

DATE MAILED: 05/20/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<i>\</i>					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/666,204	BAUMAN ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Julian W. Woo	3731					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 A	April 2005.						
•							
· —	·-						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-71 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-22 and 24-71 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 23 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicat prity documents have been receive nu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  A) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>2.3/04.4/05</u> .		Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Art Unit: 3731

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly

claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 6-9 and 32-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. With respect to claims 6-9, it is not certain whether the stapler is a structural part of the invention. With respect to claims 38-40, it

is not certain which "buttress is being referred to: the first or second buttress or both.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000.

Art Unit: 3731

Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

4. Claims 1-17, 20, 21, 24-28, 31-40, 43-52, 55-64, and 67-71 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Huxel et al. (6,503,259). Huxel et al. disclose, in the figures and in col.6, line 20 to col. 9, line 45, a reinforcement device for use with a circular stapler (38) for creating anastomotic junctions, where the device includes a buttress (16 or 14) having at least one adaptive (i.e., flexible) opening, where the adaptive opening allows an anvil to be removed therethrough without causing permanent alteration, tearing, or deformation to the buttress, where the buttress (16) has slits (44) along a periphery of the adaptive opening or is corrugated (42, 44) along the same periphery, where a central region is reinforced (at 40) and inherently more rigid relative to the slotted region (at 44), where the buttress (16) is configured to selfalign with respect to a stapler anvil (78 or 82) or anvil central shaft (74) and is retained on a stapler without an adhesive; where the buttress(16) is made of a bioabsorbable material or a copolymer of poly(glycolide: trimethylene carbonate), elastic material, or polymeric material; where the buttress includes a filler material, therapeutic agent, or bioactive agent, where the buttress (14) is made of an inelastic material (e.g., glasses or ceramics); where the device includes first (14) and second (16) buttresses; where the device includes a circular stapler adapted to create a circular hole (at 12 or 82) and having an anvil (78 or 82) and a buttress (14 or 16), and where the anvil (80) that has a diameter larger than the hole created by the stapler.

Page 4

Art Unit: 3731

5. Claims 1, 21, and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Mooradian et al. (US2003/0183671). Mooradian et al. disclose, in figures 2 and 3, a reinforcement device (18, 20, 50) for use with a circular stapler, where the device includes a buttress (e.g., 20) having at least one adaptive (i.e., flexible) opening, where the adaptive opening allows an anvil to be removed therethrough without causing permanent alteration, where the buttress has a central region that has a greater thickness (at 28) than other regions of the device.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 18, 19, 29, 30, 41, 42, 53, 54, 65, and 66 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Huxel et al. (6,503,259). Huxel et al. disclose the invention

Art Unit: 3731

substantially as claimed. Huxel et al. disclose a buttress made of fluropolymers (see col. 8, line 42), but do not disclose polytetrafluoroethylene and porous expanded polytetrafluroethylene. Nevertheless, it would have been a matter of design choice to apply either of these fluropolymers. The choice would be dependent upon the desired elasticity and therapeutic effects of the buttress.

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claim 23 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 7. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: None of the prior art of record, alone or in combination, discloses a reinforcement device including a buttress having, inter alia, an adaptive opening and a central region that has a denser material than other regions of the device.

## Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Julian W. Woo whose telephone number is (571) 272-4707. The examiner can normally be reached Mon.-Fri., 7:00 AM to 3:00 PM Eastern Time, alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anh Tuan Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-4963. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 10/666,204 Page 6

Art Unit: 3731

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Julian W. Woo Primary Examiner

Julian W. Woo

May 18, 2005